INTRODUCTION

The journey of pregnancy is a remarkable physiological process characterized by a series of intricate changes that occur across distinct trimesters, culminating in the transformative postpartum period known as the puerperium. Understanding these physiological alterations is paramount not only for the well-being of the mother but also for the optimal development and health of the fetus. Over the past decade, groundbreaking research in the field of obstetrics and gynecology has shed light on the nuanced changes that define each trimester and the subsequent puerperium phase. The first trimester, marked by implantation and embryogenesis, witnesses significant hormonal shifts, including escalating levels of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) and progesterone, which play pivotal roles in maintaining the uterine environment conducive for fetal growth.

Concurrently, physiological adaptations in maternal cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic systems are initiated to support the growing metabolic demands of the fetus. As pregnancy progresses...
introducing the second and third trimesters, the anatomical and physiological changes become more pronounced, encompassing alterations in cardiac output, renal function, and musculoskeletal structures.[3] Furthermore, the third trimester heralds the preparatory phase for labor and delivery, characterized by cervical ripening, increased uterine contractility, and fetal descent.[4]

Following the culmination of labor and delivery, the maternal body undergoes a remarkable transition during the puerperium period, commonly referred to as the postpartum phase. This phase is characterized by involutional changes of the uterus, lactation establishment, and a gradual restoration of prepregnancy physiological parameters.[5] However, the puerperium is also associated with potential complications, including postpartum hemorrhage, infections, and psychological challenges, underscoring the necessity for comprehensive monitoring and intervention strategies.[6]

In light of the aforementioned complexities, this research provides a comprehensive analysis of the physiological changes across trimesters and the puerperium period. This study aims to elucidate the physiological changes during different trimesters and puerperium.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design and Setting:** This research was conducted at the Kona Seema Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Institute, employing a longitudinal design that involved the recruitment and follow-up of participants across all three trimesters of pregnancy and the subsequent puerperium period. The institute, renowned for its expertise in obstetrics and gynecology, provided an optimal setting for the study.

**Ethical Considerations**

Before initiating the study, ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee at Kona Seema Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Institute. Informed consent, outlining the study’s objectives, procedures, potential risks, and benefits, was diligently obtained from each participant. Throughout the study, strict measures were implemented to ensure confidentiality and anonymity.

**Participant Selection**

Participants were selected based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure the study’s relevance and integrity. Inclusion criteria encompassed healthy antenatal mothers aged 22-45 years with fewer than three previous deliveries. Exclusion criteria included individuals below 23 years or above 46 years and those with chronic illnesses such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, renal failure, cardiac disease, and liver disease.

**Sample Size and Recruitment**

A total of 60 participants were recruited, consisting of age-matched non-pregnant women and pregnant women in their first trimester. Recruitment efforts were conducted through various channels, including outpatient departments, antenatal clinics, and community outreach programs. Thorough baseline assessments were performed to capture essential anthropometric and physiological parameters.

**Baseline Assessment**

Upon enrollment, participants underwent comprehensive baseline assessments, including age, height, weight, and BMI calculations. Additionally, physiological parameters such as heart rate, respiratory rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse pressure, and mean arterial pressure were meticulously recorded.

**Follow-Up Assessments**

Participants were longitudinally followed throughout pregnancy and the puerperium period, with assessments conducted at each trimester and postpartum phase. These follow-up evaluations included the continuous monitoring of anthropometric and physiological parameters to document changes over the course of the study.

**Data Collection and Analysis**

Data collection utilized standardized forms, and entries were meticulously recorded in a secure electronic database. Descriptive statistics summarized baseline characteristics, while inferential statistics, repeated measures ANOVA, were employed to analyze changes in anthropometric and physiological parameters. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Table 1. Shows various parameters of study participants across different stages of pregnancy and postpartum period are compared with a control group. The parameters evaluated include age, height, weight, and BMI (Body Mass Index). There was no statistically significant difference observed across the groups, with p-value being 0.260, indicating a relative consistency in age among participants across all stages compared to the control group. Similarly, when considering height, the differences were not statistically significant across the stages, as evidenced by a p-value of 0.104. However, notable variations were observed in weight and BMI. The weight of participants increased progressively from the first trimester (68.15 ± 10.33 kg) through the second (71.42 ± 10.02 kg) and third trimesters (76.05 ± 9.80 kg). Postpartum, there was a reduction in weight to 65.02 ± 10.23 kg. These differences were found to be statistically significant with p-values of 0.000, denoted by the symbols ##, indicating a significant difference compared to the control group.

Similarly, BMI showed a consistent trend of increase across the stages of pregnancy, with values rising from 27.58 ± 4.75 in the first trimester to 30.76 ± 4.67 in the third trimester. However, a decrease was observed in the postpartum period to
26.31 ± 4.67. Again, these changes were statistically significant with a p-value of 0.000.

In Table 2, various physiological parameters including Heart Rate (HR), Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP), Pulse Pressure (PP), Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), and Respiratory Rate (RR) were assessed across different stages of pregnancy and the postpartum period.

Heart Rate displayed a notable decrease during the puerperium phase compared to the controls and increased levels observed during the first, second, and third trimesters. This pattern of change was statistically significant with a p-value of 0.000 for all stages when compared to the control group.

Similarly, the Systolic Blood Pressure exhibited a progressive increase from the controls (119.10 ± 5.55 mmHg) to the third trimester (128.63 ± 2.53 mmHg). A similar trend was observed for Diastolic Blood Pressure, which escalated from 76.12 ± 3.02 mmHg in controls to 84.53 ± 4.55 mmHg in the third trimester. Both parameters showed significant differences across all stages compared to the control group, as indicated by p-values of 0.000.

Pulse Pressure demonstrated fluctuations across the stages but remained relatively consistent, with no statistically significant differences observed. Conversely, Mean Arterial Pressure mirrored the trends seen in SBP and DBP, indicating an elevation during pregnancy stages and a subsequent decrease during the puerperium phase, again supported by a p-value of 0.000 for all stages compared to controls. Furthermore, the Respiratory Rate displayed a consistent pattern with the Heart Rate, revealing an increase during pregnancy stages and a significant decrease during the puerperium (17.62 ± 0.95 bpm). These variations across all parameters underscore the physiological adaptations and changes that occur during pregnancy and postpartum periods, emphasizing the significance of monitoring these vital signs for maternal health.

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>First trimester</th>
<th>Second trimester</th>
<th>Third trimester</th>
<th>Puerperium</th>
<th>P value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.08 ± 3.62</td>
<td>25.75 ± 2.49</td>
<td>25.75 ± 2.49</td>
<td>25.75 ± 2.49</td>
<td>25.75 ± 2.49</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>155.30 ± 5.9</td>
<td>157.58 ± 5.7</td>
<td>157.58 ± 5.7</td>
<td>157.58 ± 5.7</td>
<td>157.58 ± 5.7</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>63.60 ± 10.94</td>
<td>68.15 ± 10.33</td>
<td>71.42 ± 10.02</td>
<td>76.05 ± 9.80</td>
<td>65.02 ± 10.23</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.44 ± 4.70</td>
<td>27.58 ± 4.75</td>
<td>28.89 ± 4.69</td>
<td>30.76 ± 4.67</td>
<td>26.31 ± 4.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as Mean ± SD. BMI: Body Mass Index, SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure, PP: Pulse Pressure, RPP: Rate Pressure Product, HR: Heart Rate, MAP: Mean Arterial Pressure.

** Control vs First trimester  @@ Control vs Second trimester  ## Control vs third trimester $$ Control vs Puerperium

** = p<0.05, @@ = p<0.05, ## = p<0.05, $$ = p<0.05.

### Table 2: Comparison of Physiological parameters during pregnancy and puerperium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>First trimester</th>
<th>Second trimester</th>
<th>Third trimester</th>
<th>Puerperium</th>
<th>P value (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>77.62 ± 2.60</td>
<td>80.78 ± 2.68</td>
<td>83.95 ± 2.84</td>
<td>87.18 ± 2.73</td>
<td>74.57 ± 1.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>119.10 ± 5.55</td>
<td>123.23 ± 3.43</td>
<td>127.63 ± 3.40</td>
<td>128.63 ± 2.53</td>
<td>116.73 ± 2.92</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>76.12 ± 3.02</td>
<td>83.00 ± 2.84</td>
<td>85.20 ± 3.66</td>
<td>84.53 ± 4.55</td>
<td>73.47 ± 3.16</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PP (mmHg)</td>
<td>42.98 ± 5.25</td>
<td>40.23 ± 2.80</td>
<td>42.43 ± 4.00</td>
<td>44.10 ± 5.10</td>
<td>43.27 ± 3.47</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>90.44 ± 3.19</td>
<td>96.41 ± 2.75</td>
<td>99.34 ± 3.04</td>
<td>99.23 ± 3.18</td>
<td>87.88 ± 2.61</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RR (BPM)</td>
<td>16.25 ± 1.15</td>
<td>21.22 ± 1.56</td>
<td>23.52 ± 1.50</td>
<td>25.50 ± 1.39</td>
<td>17.62 ± 0.95</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as Mean ± SD. HR: Heart Rate, SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure, PP: Pulse Pressure, HR: Heart Rate, MAP: Mean Arterial Pressure, RR: Respiratory Rate.

** Control vs First trimester  @@ Control vs Second trimester  ## Control vs third trimester $$ Control vs Puerperium

** = p<0.05, @@ = p<0.05, ## = p<0.05, $$ = p<0.05.
DISCUSSION

The findings presented in Table 1 shed light on the baseline characteristics of study participants across various stages of pregnancy and the postpartum period, particularly focusing on age, height, weight, and BMI. When juxtaposed with existing literature, several noteworthy observations and trends emerge, echoing findings from prior high-impact studies. Starting with age and height, our results align with studies that have not reported significant variations across trimesters concerning these parameters. Such consistency underscores the stable nature of these anthropometric measures throughout pregnancy, suggesting that age and height may not be significant variables influencing maternal physiological changes during these periods.

Contrastingly, our study mirrors the trend observed in several high-impact publications, indicating a progressive increase in weight throughout pregnancy. For instance, Johnston et al. (1991) reported a similar scenario, where weight incrementally increased from the first to the third trimester. The significant weight gain observed in our study during the third trimester aligns with the physiological demands of fetal growth and maternal adaptations, such as increased blood volume and fat stores.

Moreover, the pronounced changes in BMI observed in our study resonate with findings from Monjur et al. (2020), who elucidated a consistent rise in BMI across trimesters. Interestingly, the postpartum reduction in BMI observed in our cohort echoes the post-delivery metabolic and physiological shifts highlighted in studies emphasizing the body's return to pre-pregnancy metabolic states. The significant differences observed in weight and BMI across the study periods, as evidenced by the p-values, underscore the dynamic metabolic and physiological changes inherent to pregnancy and postpartum periods. These variations, when compared to control groups, provide valuable insights into the magnitude and significance of these changes, aligning with the broader literature on maternal health and metabolic adaptations.

However, it's crucial to note potential limitations and confounding factors that may influence these findings. Factors such as dietary habits, physical activity, and underlying health conditions could contribute to the observed variations, warranting further investigation in future studies. Furthermore, while our findings resonate with high-impact literature, variations across populations, ethnicities, and geographic regions may necessitate cautious interpretation and application of these results.

The present study's comprehensive evaluation of physiological parameters during various stages of pregnancy and the puerperium phase offers invaluable insights into maternal hemodynamic changes. Our findings resonate with and diverge from several high-impact studies, thereby enriching the current understanding of maternal physiology. The observed decline in Heart Rate (HR) during the puerperium phase aligns with findings reported, emphasizing the postpartum physiological recovery marked by decreased HR. Conversely, the increased HR during pregnancy stages corresponds with data from Smith and colleagues, attributing such elevations to increased metabolic demands and cardiac output during gestation. Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) trends in our study mirror those reported, indicating progressive elevations throughout pregnancy. These findings underscore the hemodynamic adaptations necessary to accommodate the increasing circulatory demands associated with fetal growth and development. However, the notable decrease in SBP and DBP during the puerperium phase contrasts with persistent hypertension reported in some postpartum studies, suggesting varied maternal recovery trajectories.

Pulse Pressure (PP) remained relatively stable across stages in our study, echoing previous findings, who emphasized the role of vascular compliance in maintaining PP stability during pregnancy. In contrast, Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) variations observed in our study align with fluctuations documented in recent literature, highlighting the intricate balance between vascular resistance and cardiac output during pregnancy and postpartum recovery. Respiratory Rate (RR) patterns observed in our cohort align with earlier report, illustrating increased RR during pregnancy stages attributed to hormonal and metabolic alterations. However, the significant decline in RR during the puerperium phase contrasts with prolonged respiratory adjustments reported in postpartum studies, emphasizing diverse maternal respiratory recovery pathways.

While our study's findings converge with high-impact literature regarding certain physiological parameters, disparities necessitate further investigation. Potential confounders, including maternal age, parity, and comorbidities, may influence these variations, warranting comprehensive multi-variable analyses in future studies. Furthermore, our study's findings contribute to advancing maternal health monitoring protocols, emphasizing the significance of tailored interventions and individualized care plans. Recognizing the dynamic physiological adaptations and recovery trajectories across pregnancy stages and the puerperium phase remains pivotal in optimizing maternal and neonatal outcomes.
CONCLUSION

Our study’s comparative analysis of physiological parameters during pregnancy and the puerperium stages contributes significantly to understanding maternal hemodynamic changes. By both aligning with and differing from established high-impact literature, our findings add depth to the existing research, highlighting the complexity and variability of maternal physiological adaptations. Future research efforts should focus on uncovering the underlying mechanisms, including diverse cohorts, and examining potential confounders to improve the clinical relevance and applicability of these findings. Conflicts of interest Nil.
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