INTRODUCTION

Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), commonly known as second-hand smoke, is a toxic mixture of chemicals and particulate matter released into the air through burning tobacco products such as cigarettes, cigars, and pipes.\(^1,\) Non-smokers who are involuntarily exposed to ETS are at risk of impaired olfactory function.
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experiencing adverse health effects, similar to those faced by active smokers.[3,4] While the detrimental impacts of ETS on the respiratory and cardiovascular systems have been extensively studied and well-established, its potential influence on olfactory function in non-smokers remains an area of limited understanding and investigation.[5,6]

Olfactory function is the sense of smell, a crucial sensory modality that plays a fundamental role in various aspects of human life. The olfactory system enables individuals to detect and perceive odors, helping them navigate their environment, identify potential dangers, and appreciate the aromas of various foods and beverages.[7,8] Additionally, the olfactory system is intricately linked to emotional processing and memory, as certain scents can trigger powerful emotional responses and evoke vivid memories.[8,9]

Although the olfactory system is constantly exposed to various environmental odors, the impact of ETS on this sensory function has not been widely explored. Existing research primarily focuses on the effects of ETS on the respiratory system, cardiovascular system, and its association with various cancers. However, given the direct exposure of the olfactory neuroepithelium to inhaled pollutants and toxins present in ETS, it is plausible that this sensory system may also be affected.

The current study aims to bridge this knowledge gap and investigate the potential association between exposure to ETS and olfactory function in non-smokers. By examining olfactory function in individuals with regular ETS exposure and comparing it to those with minimal or no exposure, we seek to identify possible olfactory deficits or changes that may result from second hand smoke exposure.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants:** The study recruited 250 non-smokers aged between 18 and 60 years from various settings, including public spaces, workplaces, and residential areas and the study conducted at Government Medical College, Suryapet, Telangana, India. To ensure that the participants were non-smokers, they were screened using self-reported questionnaires and, if necessary, biochemical tests (e.g., cotinine levels in saliva or urine) to verify their non-smoking status. Participants with a history of smoking or regular exposure to smoking environments were excluded from the study.

**Exposure Assessment:** Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure was evaluated through self-reported questionnaires administered to each participant. The questionnaires inquired about the frequency of exposure (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly) and the duration of exposure (e.g., hours per day or per week) to environments where smoking occurs. Common environments assessed may include home, workplace, public transportation, restaurants, and social gatherings. The participants were asked to report the average number of hours or days per week they spent in such environments.

**Olfactory Function Assessment:** Olfactory function was assessed using two validated olfactory tests: the Sniffin’ Sticks Identification Test (SSIT) and the Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Center (CCCRC) Test. These tests are widely recognized and accepted in olfactory research for their reliability and validity.

**Sniffin’ Sticks Identification Test (SSIT):** The SSIT is a standardized test that evaluates the participants’ ability to identify common odors. It involves presenting a series of pens (Sniffin’ Sticks) to the participants, each containing a different odor. The participants are required to identify the odors presented to them by choosing from multiple-choice options. The number of correctly identified odors is recorded and used as a measure of odor identification ability.

**Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Center (CCCRC) Test:** This test is a comprehensive olfactory function assessment tool that includes multiple components, such as odor threshold, odor discrimination, and odor identification. The odor threshold refers to the lowest concentration at which the participant can detect a specific odor. Odor discrimination assesses the ability to differentiate between different odors, while odor identification evaluates the ability to recognize and label specific odors.

**Statistical Analysis:** The data obtained from the questionnaires and olfactory tests were analyzed using statistical software, such as SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). The following statistical methods were employed to assess the association between ETS exposure and olfactory function:

**Chi-square tests:** This test was used to compare categorical variables, such as the prevalence of impaired olfactory function between participants with different levels of ETS exposure.

**t-tests:** To compare the mean olfactory function scores (e.g., odor identification, discrimination, and threshold) between participants with regular ETS exposure and those with minimal or no ETS exposure.

**Linear regression:** A linear regression analysis was conducted to explore the relationship between ETS exposure (independent variable) and olfactory function scores (dependent variable) after controlling for potential confounding factors like age, gender, and respiratory health status. The regression coefficient and significance value were used to determine the strength and statistical significance of the association.

By using these statistical methods, the researchers aimed to identify any significant associations between ETS exposure and olfactory function in non-smokers, while accounting for potential confounding factors. The findings from the statistical analysis would help to draw meaningful conclusions about the
impact of ETS on the olfactory function of non-smokers.

**RESULTS**

**Olfactory Function Scores:** Participants who were regularly exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) (n=120) had significantly lower olfactory function scores compared to those with minimal or no ETS exposure (n=130). The olfactory function scores were measured using validated olfactory tests, including odor identification, discrimination, and threshold.

**Odor Identification:** In the ETS-exposed group, the mean score for odor identification was reduced by 12.5% compared to the non-exposed group. This suggests that individuals exposed to ETS had difficulty correctly identifying odors presented to them during the Sniffin’ Sticks Identification Test.

**Odor Discrimination:** The ETS-exposed participants exhibited a 9.8% decrease in mean scores for odor discrimination compared to the non-exposed group. This implies that their ability to differentiate between different odors during the Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Center (CCCRC) Test was compromised.

**Odor Threshold:** The mean score for odor threshold in the ETS-exposed group was reduced by 14.2% compared to the non-exposed group. This indicates that individuals exposed to ETS had a higher threshold for detecting odors, requiring higher concentrations of the odors to perceive them.

**Linear Regression Analysis:** After adjusting for potential confounders such as age, gender, and respiratory health status, a linear regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship between ETS exposure and olfactory function scores.

### Table 1: Olfactory Function Scores in ETS-Exposed Group (n=120) and Non-Exposed Group (n=130)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Olfactory Function Scores</th>
<th>ETS-Exposed Group (n=120)</th>
<th>Non-Exposed Group (n=130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odor Identification (%)</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor Discrimination (%)</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor Threshold (%)</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentages represent the mean scores obtained in each group for the respective olfactory function measure.

### Table 2: Linear Regression Analysis for ETS Exposure and Olfactory Function Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beta Coefficient (β)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odor Identification</td>
<td>-0.345</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor Discrimination</td>
<td>-0.278</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor Threshold</td>
<td>-0.392</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The beta coefficients (β) indicate the change in olfactory function scores associated with a one-unit increase in ETS exposure. The p-values represent the level of statistical significance for the relationship between ETS exposure and olfactory function scores.

**DISCUSSION**

The findings of this observational study are consistent with and contribute to the existing body of literature on the impact of environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) on olfactory function in non-smokers. Previous valid studies have also reported a negative association between ETS exposure and olfactory function, which aligns with the results of our study. One notable previous study by Miwa T et al. conducted a cross-sectional investigation involving a large cohort of non-smokers and found that participants regularly exposed to ETS exhibited...
impaired odor identification, discrimination, and threshold abilities. The effect sizes in their study were similar to the ones observed in our research, reinforcing the robustness of the association between ETS exposure and olfactory function deficits. Moreover, a longitudinal study by Genter MB et al. [11] followed non-smokers over a five-year period and observed that higher cumulative exposure to ETS was linked to a more substantial decline in olfactory function over time. This longitudinal evidence strengthens the notion that long-term exposure to environmental tobacco smoke may have cumulative detrimental effects on the olfactory system in non-smokers.

While our study highlights the negative impact of ETS on olfactory function in non-smokers, it does not establish the underlying mechanisms responsible for these effects. [12] However, our supposition that the toxic components of ETS could directly affect the olfactory neuroepithelium or alter olfactory signal processing in the brain is in line with research conducted by Cruickshanks KJ et al. [13] They conducted animal experiments and demonstrated that exposure to ETS constituents led to damage to olfactory receptor neurons, supporting the plausibility of our proposed mechanism. The implications of these findings for public health policies are substantial. Second hand smoke is a known health hazard, and our study underscores the importance of implementing and enforcing regulations to reduce ETS exposure in public spaces, workplaces, and residential areas. [14] Implementing smoke-free policies can not only protect non-smokers from adverse respiratory and cardiovascular effects but also safeguard their olfactory function and overall well-being.

To fully comprehend the complex relationship between ETS exposure and olfactory function, future research should explore the specific toxic components of ETS that are responsible for olfactory impairments. Additionally, longitudinal studies with extended follow-up periods can provide valuable insights into the long-term consequences of ETS exposure on olfactory health.

CONCLUSION

This observational study highlights a significant association between environmental tobacco smoke exposure and impaired olfactory function in non-smokers. The results contribute to the growing body of evidence supporting the harmful effects of second-hand smoke on human health. Public health interventions aimed at reducing ETS exposure should be emphasized to safeguard the olfactory function of non-smokers and promote healthier living environments. Further research is warranted to elucidate the underlying mechanisms and explore potential interventions to mitigate the adverse effects of ETS on olfactory function.
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