INTRODUCTION

Clavicle fracture is a common traumatic injury around shoulder girdle in young active individuals due to their subcutaneous position. The mechanism of injury is usually fall on to the outstretched upper extremity or direct blow or high velocity impact. Of all the fractures, clavicle fractures constitutes about 2.6%, and majority of them (80-85%) occurs in midshaft of the bone. Occasionally the bone will break where it attaches at the ribcage or shoulder blade.

Non-operative treatments were associated with 15-20% non-union and 1-5% malunion, because reduction is practically impossible to maintain, resulting in disfigurement, sagging shoulder and weakness of shoulder. Even with advent of osteosynthesis fracture clavicle remained overlooked for centuries. It may have been because of its characteristic “S” shape, subcutaneous location, proximity to vital structures or difficulty in fixation due to unavailability of implants or it may be because of insignificant disability after conservative treatment. In females surgical scar over clavicle had cosmetic implications also.

In modern time the plate fixation has gained popularity, gives a good stable fixation, leading to early mobilisation of shoulder and ability to return back to work early.
But still there is no clear cut guidelines for operating clavicle fracture and controversy still continues, so this encouraged me to further elaborate this study to gain a deeper understanding of functional results and problems associated after fixation of mid shaft clavicular fractures.

Aims and Objectives
1. To study the union and functional results of displaced clavicle fracture treated with plating.
2. To study the complications associated with clavicle fracture and their surgical management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Place of Study
The study has been conducted at the Department of Orthopaedics of Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, New Delhi (SGRH). This is a 675 bedded super specialty hospital equipped with all the facilities for patient care as well as for research purposes.

Type of study
The study is prospective as well as retrospective, done at the Department of Orthopaedics at Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Rajinder Nagar, New Delhi (SGRH)

Sample
Sample Size
Since this is an observational study so, all consecutive patients meeting the eligibility criteria during the study period has been enrolled. The prospective group includes 6 cases, who underwent surgery in our hospital, whereas 23 cases, who were operated previously constitute the retrospective group. We included retrospective cases as we couldn't find sufficient prospective cases to meet the sample size requirement.

Duration of study
The prospective study has been done from April 2015 to December 2015. For the retrospective group, cases operated between January 2011 to March 2015 were enrolled.

Subjects
Inclusion Criteria
1. Age > 18 years.
2. Displaced midshaft fractures.
3. Closed fractures.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Age < 18 years.
2. Open fractures
3. Associated head injury / neurovascular injury.
4. Established non-union from previous fracture.

Method of study
A prospective as well as retrospective study has been conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics at Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, New Delhi. A total of 29 patients admitted for fracture of displaced mid shaft clavicle fracture and managed by plating have been evaluated in this study. The data of prospective cases has been collected from follow-up, serial radiographs and clinical assessment; whereas the data of the retrospective cases has been collected from Rec-
The functional outcome were assessed by Oxford Shoulder score and Radiological outcome by Radiological score.[35,36]

RESULTS

The present study consists of 29 patients of comminuted mid shaft clavicle fracture where all patients were treated surgically with plating and screw between January 2011 to December 2015. All the patients were available for follow-up and they were followed every 6 weeks. Results were analyzed both clinically and radiologically.

Mode of Injury
In mid shaft clavicle fractures among them 8 patients (27.6%) were due to fall on shoulder from two wheeler, 19 patients (65.5%) were due to road traffic accident, in 2 patient (6.8%) it was due to assault.

Age Incidence
There is almost equal distribution in all age group of the patients with Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture i.e. 10 patients (34.5%) in the age group of 20-29 years, 7 patients (24.1%) in the age group of 30-39 years, 4 patients (13.8%) in the age group of 40-49 and 8 patients (17.6%) in the age group of 50 or more. The youngest patient was 20 years and oldest patient was 69 years. The average patient age was 38 years.

Associated Injuries
In Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture 5 patients (17.2%) had associated lower limb (femur and tibia fracture) injuries, 2 patient (6.8%) had associated upper limb injuries (radius and metacarpal fracture) and 4 patient (13.8%) had other injuries including spine fracture, facial injuries and abdominal injuries. All the patients were immobilized in an arm pouch.

Comminution
Plain radiograph of clavicle with shoulder is taken in anteroposterior view to assess the site of fracture and the type of fracture (like Displacement, Angulation, Comminution).

In this present study there were 29 patients (100%) of mid shaft clavicle fracture where 16 patients (55.2%) were having comminution at fracture site and 13 patients (44.8%) were having simple fracture pattern without any comminution.

All the patients in mid shaft clavicle fracture were closed type. There were no associated medical illness in any patient.

Major complication
A complication requiring inpatient treatment and resulting in an additional morbidity of 2 months or more was regarded as a major complication.

In Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture fixation 4 patients (13.8%) plate prominence had occurred. In 1 patients (3.4%) infection (deep) occurred after 8 weeks of surgery, and after 10 weeks that plate was exposed on which later on implant removal was done.

Table 1: Table 10: Showing distribution of complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic skin scar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate prominence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection (deep) &amp; Exposed plate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate breakage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed union</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Showing functional outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional outcome</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent (40-48)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>72.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good (30-39)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair (20-29)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor (0-19)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Showing distribution of radiographic outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiographic score</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade-1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>58.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade-2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study all of the 29 patients (100%) with Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture had excellent functional outcome.

Radiographic Outcome
In midshaft clavicle fracture treated surgically with plating, 24 patients (82.8%) united with radiographic grade-1 and 5 patients (17.2%) united with radiographic grade-2.
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In our study we have done our evaluation and compared our results, with the similar other studies on midshaft clavicle fracture treated surgically with plating.

Mechanism of injury
In our study of mid shaft clavicle fractures among them 8 patients (27.6%) were due to fall on shoulder from two wheeler, 19 patients (65.5%) were due to road traffic accident, in 2 patient (6.8%) it was due to assault.

This is comparable with similar studies of Tsang et al.[58] where 74% patients were of RTA, 8 patients of slipped down, 7 patients of sports injury and 6 patients of fall from height and by Dhoju et al (55)where 50% patients due to RTA, 45% patients due to fall from height, 5% patients due to buffalo assault, by Battacharya et al.[61] where 50% cases of RTA and 50% cases of sporting injury or by direct violence and by Kulshrestha.[51] in which 75% patients were due to RTA and 25% patients were due to fall from height.

Age Incidence
In the study there is almost equal distribution in all age group of the patients with Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture i.e. 10 patients (34.5%) in the age group of 20-29 years, 7 patients (24.1%) in the age group of 30-39 years, 4 patients (13.8%) in the age group of 40-49 and 8 patients (17.6%) in the age group of 50 or more. The youngest patient was 20 years and oldest patient was 69 years. The average patient age was 38 years.

In Tsang et al.[58] study average age was 45 years, in Dhoju et al.[55] study average age was 31.5 years, in Battacharya et al.[61] study it was 36.5 years and in Kulshrestha.[51] study the average age was 31 years.

Associated Injuries
In Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture 5 patients (17.2%) had associated lower limb (femur and tibia fracture) injuries, 2 patient (6.8%) had associated upper limb injuries (radius and metacarpal fracture) and 4 patient (13.8%) had other injuries including spine fracture, facial injuries and abdominal injuries.

In similar study done by Tsang et al.[58] 19 patients were having associated injuries such as multiple fractures, head injuries, rib fractures, hemothorax, etc, in study of Dhoju et al.[55] 5 patients (5%) had associated injuries, three had rib fracture and one had metacarpal and remaining one had tibia fracture and fracture of multiple phalanges of foot.
In this study of the Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture, 21 patients (72.41%) had excellent, 5 patients (17.29%) had good and 3 patients (10.34%) had fair functional outcome.

In similar study done by Tsang et al. (58), 78 patients (95%) had an uneventfull recovery with average DASH score of 26.35.

In Dhoju et al. study average Constant Score was 97.4 with SD 3.1 in one year follow up and all patients were relatively satisfied with the procedure.

In Kulshreshtha study as per Rowe Criterion 12 patients (60%) had excellent, 6 patients (30%) had good and 2 patients (10%) had fair results.

Radiographic Outcome
In this study all patients had satisfactory union, in 17 patients (58.6%) fracture united with Radiographic grade-1, in 9 patients (31.0%) fracture united with Radiographic grade-2 and in 3 patients (10.4%) fracture united with Radiographic grade -3 at final follow up of patients and there were no any nonunion noted in our study.

In Tsang et al. study 1.2% patient had nonunion with mean time to radiographic union was 11.2 weeks.

In Dhoju et al. study there was no nonunion or implant failure recorded.

In Battacharya et al. study, nonunion occurred in 2 patients (7.1%) and average time to union was 10 weeks.

Complications
Plate breakage
One patient (3.4%) plated with reconstruction plate for displaced and comminuted fracture was broken at 8 weeks postoperatively. Patients radiograph during the first follow up at 6 weeks showed reconstruction plate bending. Inspite of advise the patient went for his regular occupation and involved in lifting heavy weight before radiological union of the fracture. At the end of 8 weeks postoperatively the reconstruction plate broke.

In Bostman et al. study 2 patients treated with semi tubular plate had implant breakage at 2nd and 7th postoperative weeks respectively. Both cases were treated by replating using dynamic compression plate with bone grafting.

Delayed union
Delayed union occurred in 1 patient (3.4%). In patient there was a large butterfly fragment in the inferior aspect of clavicle which went on to unite with the main fragments at the end of 14 weeks. In another 1 patient (3.4%) delayed union occurred due to plate breakage at 8 weeks post operatively. Replating with bone grafting was done and it went on to unite at the end of 20 weeks postoperatively.

In Bostman et al. study delayed union occurred in 3 Patients (2.91%).

Deep infection
In this study 1 patient (3.4%) had deep infection after 8 weeks in the post operative period which was later on after 10 weeks exposed plate from the operative site, for which implant removal was done after 12 weeks. Even after infection and plate removal the fracture was united in this case.

This in comparison with Kao et al. study there was no complication in 11 patients (91.67%). In 1 patient (8.33%) had a fall at 2 months after operation. This patient received a revision surgery with distal clavicle resection and coracoclavicular reconstruction.

Skin complications
There was cosmetically unacceptable skin scar in 2 patients (6.8%). Plate prominence through the skin was reported in 4 patients (13.8%). Among them all 6 patients (20.6%) had reconstruction plate fixation. The total complication in this study were 27.6% excluding skin related minor complications.

In similar study done by Tsang et al. 1 patient suffered from non-union with plate breakage. I suffered from refracture after plate removal, and I suffered from deep and another suffered from a superficial infection. The overall infection rate was 2.4%, and the non-union rate and refracture rate were both 1.2%.

In Dhoju et al. study, 1 patient had deep infection and 1 patient had frozen shoulder and there was no nonunion or implant failure.

In Battacharya et al. study, 1 patient (3.5%) developed deep wound infection which needed implant removal while 4 patient (14.2%) developed superficial infection which responded to intravenous antibiotics.

The advantage of rigid internal fixation and early mobilization of fresh displaced clavicle fracture is that it (displaced comminuted middle third and displaced lateral third clavicle fracture) gives immediate pain relief and prevents the development of shoulder stiffness and non-union.

CONCLUSION
This study is a prospective as well as retrospective evaluation of 29 cases of midshaft clavicle fractures treated surgically with plate fixation between January 2011 to December 2015 at Department of orthopaedics of Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, New Delhi.

Patients above 18 years were included in this study and the patients age ranged from 20 to 69 years. The average patient age was 38 years.

RTA & Fall from the two wheeler was the cause for this fracture in most of the patients. Males are more commonly affected. 37% of the patient had associated injuries like fracture shaft femur, fracture shaft tibia, mandible fracture, multiple ribs fracture, facial injury, abdominal injury.

In 20 patients (69%) surgery was done within the 1st week and 9 patients (31%) after 1st week. The indication for surgery in middle third clavicle fracture was displacement with comminution in 13 patients and displacement without comminution in 16 patients. All our patients were operated under general anesthesia with plate and screws.
Out of 20; in cases bone grafting was done due to severe comminution.

All our patients are immobilized in an arm poulch for 4 weeks. All the patients were mobilized at the end of 2nd week with the sling.

In Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture fixation 4 patients (13.8%) plate prominence had occurred. In 1 patient (3.4%) infection (deep) occurred after 8 weeks of surgery, and after 10 weeks that plate was exposed on which later on implant removal was done. In that case too fracture united.

In this study on 29 patients (100%) with Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture, which were treated surgically plate plate fixation had excellent functional outcome and good radiographic outcome at final follow up. The functional outcome is assessed by Oxford Shoulder Score. In this study all of the 29 patients (100%) with Mid Shaft Clavicle Fracture had excellent functional outcome with Oxford Shoulder Score ranging between 40-48.

Thus our study reveals that fixation of midshaft clavicle fracture by plating is a reliable modality of mangement. They provide better outcome in terms of fracture fixation, clinical and radiological union, early mobilization and overall an acceptable outcome.

The advantage of rigid internal fixation and early mobilization of fresh displaced clavicle fracture is that (displaced comminuted middle third and displaced lateral third clavicle fracture) gives immediate pain relief and prevents the development of shoulder stiffness and non-union.

Recommendations
1. Displaced fracture of clavicle with or without comminution should be fixed, as it allows rigid fixation and early rehabilitation and return to work.
2. Chances of malunion, nonunion and prolonged immobilization are avoided.
3. We should exercise care in thin and skinny patients while plating as risk of skin dehiscence and prominent plate can cause problem.
4. Screws should be inserted under image intensifier guidance to avoid overlength screws, as it may damage surrounding neurovascular structures.
5. We recommend use of precontoured plates, which makes fixation easy.
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