

Original Research Article

COMPARISON OF PEAK EXPIRATORY FLOW RATE **POST-OPERATIVE** PRE-OPERATIVE IN AND PERIOD IN UPPER ABDOMINAL SURGERY

: 06/02/2023 Received in revised form: 10/03/2023 : 25/03/2023

Keywords: Upper Abdominal Surgery. General Anaesthesia, Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR).

Corresponding Author: Dr. Urmila Palaria

Received

Accepted

Email: urmila_palaria@rediffmail.com

DOI: 10.47009/jamp.2023.5.2.313

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Acad Med Pharm 2023; 5 (2); 1494-1497



Ishita Bahukhandi¹, Aditya Kumar Chauhan², Urmila Palaria³, DC Punera4

¹3rd Year Postgraduate Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, critical care, pain and palliative medicine, government medical college, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Soban Singh Jeena Government Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Almora, Uttrarkhand, India.

³Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Soban Singh Jeena Government Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Almora, Uttrarkhand, India.

⁴Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Soban Singh Jeena Government Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Almora, Uttrarkhand, India.

Abstract

Background: Despite improvements in surgical and anaesthetic techniques, pulmonary disorders remain the most frequent post-operative problem encountered in surgical patients. Post operative Pulmonary Complications (PPCs) consists of atelectasis, pneumonia, pulmonary aspiration, and acute respiratory distress syndrome. In present study we aim to compare respiratory functions through pre and postoperative peak expiratory flow in patients undergoing elective upper abdominal surgeries. Materials and Methods: A prospective observational study including adult patient undergoing elective upper abdominal surgery aged >18 years to < 70 years with a sample size of 100. **Result:** History of smoking at present was given by 15% cases. Most common associated co-morbidity observed in the study group was hypertension (16%). Mean PEFR at baseline was 330.3 L/min which reduced significantly during the immediate post-op period (272.6 L/min at day 1). After the immediate post-op period, the PEFR values showed gradual increase, but remained significantly lower than the baseline values, even by the end of day 7(292.3L/min). Mean percentage decrease in PEFR during the immediate postop period was 17.5%. Mean PEFR values was significantly higher among males, which remained so, throughout the study period (p0.05). Conclusion: Upper abdominal surgery under general anesthesia is usually associated with postoperative pulmonary complications. Decreased peak expiratory flow rate is one of them. Patients' Pre-existing risk factors like smoking and hypertension and post-operative inadequate pain management play a major role in decreasing peak expiratory flow rate in such patients.

INTRODUCTION

Anaesthesia as does surgery alters the ventilatory function beginning with the induction of anaesthesia and often lasting well into the postoperative period. Pulmonary complications impact both short-term and long-term survival after surgery [1]. The most frequent problem after upper abdominal and thoracic surgery is atelectasis, which reduces lung compliance and Functional Residual Capacity. It has been wellknown that patients undergoing generally develop a severe pulmonary restrictive pattern [2], and carry a high risk of postoperative respiratory complications [3,4] The occurrence of these complications closely relates to the existence of pre-operative risk factors.

Among them are: advanced age, presence of pulmonary disease or other medical illnesses, smoking and its intensity, obesity, malnutrition, type of anaesthesia, duration of surgery and surgical technique, abnormal spirometric values, decreased ability to exercise and prolonged preoperative hospitalization time. [5] Spirometry measures the volume of inhaled and exhaled air and respiratory flows, being especially useful in the analysis of data derived from forced expiratory maneuver and the Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR). The latter is the clinically most useful measure of lung function. In present study we aimed to compare respiratory functions through pre and postoperative peak

expiratory flow in patients undergoing elective upper abdominal surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Prospective, Observational, Clinical study was conducted in Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care of a Tertiary Care Hospital. A sample size of 100 patients was taken for a study duration of 18 months.

Inclusion Criteria

- Adult patient undergoing elective abdominal surgery through upper abdominal surgery.
- Age group >18 years to < 70 years

Exclusion Criteria

- Patient refusal
- Uncooperative patient
- Patient with uncontrolled pulmonary disorders existing prior to surgical procedure
- Patient undergoing emergency surgery
- Patient requiring the dissection of diaphragm as a part of surgical procedure
- BMI >30
- ASA grade III and IV
- Pregnant females

A prospective observational study was conducted after approval from the institutional ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained from the patient. The surgery took place under Standard Anaesthesia Protocol. We measured the PEFR in all cases with a portable device, the Mini Portable Peak Flow Meter ranging from 60 to 800 l/min, according to the Guidelines for Pulmonary Function Tests, 2002, with the patient sitting. We instructed the patients to exert a maximal inspiration to total lung capacity followed by a maximal, short and explosive forced expiration through the measuring device, without extending the measure to residual volume. The expiratory effort needed last only a second or two. We repeated the test three times, considering the

best result if the readings did not differ more than 20 ml/ min from each other. The PEFR of patients by above mentioned method was measured at preoperative period and within the first twenty-four postoperative hours, post operative day three, day five and day seven. All post-operative complications were also assessed like: fever >99-degree Fahrenheit, productive cough, clinically abnormal chest findings or abnormal new findings on chest x-ray compared to pre-operative X ray.

RESULTS

Mean age of the study group was 40.58 years with half of the cases in the age group of 31 to 50 years. 83% were females and 17% were males. History of smoking at present was given by 15% cases. Most common associated co-morbidity observed in the study group was hypertension (16%) followed by hypothyroidism (3%) and diabetes (2%). Mean PEFR at baseline was 330.3 L/min which reduced significantly during the immediate post-op period (272.6 L/min at day 1). After the immediate post-op period, the PEFR values showed gradual increase, but remained significantly lower than the baseline values, even by the end of day 7(292.3L/min). Mean percentage decrease in PEFR during the immediate post-op period was 17.5%. After the immediate postop period, the PEFR values showed improvement, but still remained 11.5% lower than the baseline value. Mean PEFR values was significantly higher among males, which remained so, throughout the study period (p0.05). No difference was observed between changes in the PEFR values during pre- and post-op period with respect to age of the subjects (p>0.05).

Table 1: Mean PEFR comparison pre-op and post-operatively in males and females

PEFR	Gender	N	Mean	SD	%age Fall	p-value	
Pre-op	М	17	349.4	31.9		0.02	
	F	83	326.4	37.2		0.02	
Day1	М	17	297.7	44.8	14.8%	0.016	
	F	83	267.5	46.4	18.1%	0.016	
Day3	М	17	305.3	36.4	12.6%	0.037	
	F	83	280.7	45.0	14.0%	0.037	
Day5	М	17	318.8	37.6	8.8%	<0.01	
	F	83	286.3	42.6	12.3%	~0.01	
Day7	М	12	324.2	46.0	7.2%	0.011	
	F	71	286.9	46.2	12.1%	0.011	

Table 2: Mean PEFR comparison pre-operatively and post-operatively in smokers and non-smokers

PEFR	Smoking	N	Mean	SD	% age Fall	p-value
	Yes	15	326.4	28.9		
Pre-op	No	85	352.7	37.3		0.01
	Yes	15	267.1	35.8	18.2%	
Day1	No	85	304.0	47.1	13.8%	<0.01
	Yes	15	280.0	36.1	14.2%	
Day3	No	85	312.7	44.2	11.3%	<0.01
	Yes	15	286.5	32.1	12.2%	
Day5	No	85	322.0	43.1	8.7%	<0.01
	Yes	11	287.5	39.6	11.9%	
Day7	No	72	323.6	47.3	8.2%	0.018

Table 3: Mean PEFR comparison pre-op and post-operatively in cases with and without hypertension

PEFR	Hypertension	N	Mean	SD	% age Fall	p-value
	Yes	16	311.3	46.0		
Pre-op	No	84	333.9	34.5		0.07
	Yes	16	245.0	65.9	21.3%	
Day1	No	84	277.9	41.4	16.8%	0.01
	Yes	16	256.3	61.5	17.7%	
Day3	No	84	290.4	38.6	13.0%	<0.01
	Yes	16	270.6	53.5	13.1%	
Day5	No	84	295.8	40.3	11.4%	0.033
	Yes	14	267.1	57.2	14.2%	
Day7	No	69	297.4	44.3	10.9%	0.03

DISCUSSION

In present study, we observed that mean PEFR at baseline was 330.3 L/min which reduced significantly during the immediate post-op period (272.6 L/min at day 1). After the immediate post-op period, the PEFR values showed gradual increase, but remained significantly lower than the baseline values, even by the end of day 7 (292.3 L/min). Mean percentage decrease in PEFR during the immediate post-op period was 17.5%. After the immediate post-op period, the PEFR values showed improvement, but still remained 11.5% lower than the baseline value. The reason for this change might be attributed to post-operative pain and atelectasis. Burcharth J et

a. [6] in their study observed that respiratory function following major abdominal surgery reduced significantly in the immediate post-op period and remain low throughout the first postoperative week. Mean PEFR values was significantly higher among males, which remained so, throughout the study period (p0.05). Silva et al. evaluated pre and postoperative PEFR values in patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery and concluded a significant decrease of this variable, as well as smaller PEFR values in females [7]. Praveen et al. [8] study showed that (34.1%) male patients out of 41 had postoperative complications as compared to 15 (48.3%) out of 31 female patients. The reason for this change might be attributed to higher muscle mass in males than in females.

Mean decrease in PEFR values during the immediate post-op period was significantly more among smokers (18.2% vs 13.8%; p- 0.018). Smokers have a significantly lower FEV1/FVC ratio than non-smokers, which might be the reason for this observation. Filardo et al.^[8] identified smoking and its intensity as a variable with increased risk for post-operative lung complications. Praveen et al. ^[9] also observed that overall, 40% of smokers had postoperative complications as compared to 13% non-smokers (p<0.01). In present study, no difference was observed between changes in the PEFR values during pre- and post-op period with respect to age of the subjects (p>0.05).

Mean decrease in PEFR values during the immediate post-op period was significantly more among the hypertensives (21.3% vs 16.8%; p-0.01). The difference was maintained till the day 7 of the follow up (14.2% vs 10.9%; p-0.03).

CONCLUSION

It is evident form our study that upper abdominal surgery under general anesthesia is usually associated with post-operative pulmonary complications. Decreased peak expiratory flow rate is one of them. Patients' Pre-existing risk factors like smoking and hypertension and post-operative inadequate pain management play a major role in decreasing peak expiratory flow rate in such patients.

Limitations

Present study suffers from regional bias associated with single center-based studies; hence the results cannot be generalised. Due to smaller sample size, results cannot be generalised.

REFERENCES

- 1. James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership Anaesthesia and perioperative care top 10 priorities. (Available from: http://www.jla. nihr.ac.uk/priority-setting-partnerships/anaesthesia-and-perioperativecare/top-10-priorities/)
- Fowler A.J., Pelosi P, et al. A systematic review and consensus definitions for standardised end-points in perioperative medicine: pulmonary complications. Br J Anaesth. 2018; 120: 1066-1079.
- Latimer RG, Dickman M, Day WC, Gunn ML, Schmidt CD. Ventilatory patterns and pulmonary complications after upper abdominal surgery determined by preoperative and postoperative computerized spirometry and blood gas analysis. Am J Surg 1971; 122: 622–632
- Ali J, Khan TA. The comparative effects of muscle transection and median upper abdominal incisions on postoperative pulmonary function. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1979; 148: 863–866
- Barros JA, Beppu OS, Chueiri CEB, Pereira EDB, Faresin SM, Ferreira MS, et al. Incidência de complicações pulmonares e mortalidade de causa pulmonar em candidatos à cirurgia geral. J Pneumol. 1992;18(supl 2):108
- Burcharth J, Ohm Oreskov J, Falkenberg A, Schack A, Ekeloef S, Gögenur I. Respiratory function following major

- emergency abdominal surgery. Journal of Perioperative Practice. 2020; 30(12):389-394.
- Silva DR, Baglio PT, Gazzana MB, Barreto SSM. Avaliaçãopulmonar e prevenção das complicaçõesrespiratóriasperioperatórias. Rev Bras Clin Med. 2009;7:114-23.
- Filardo, FA, Faresin SM, Fernandes ALG. Validade de um índice prognóstico para ocorrência de complicações pulmonares no pósoperatório de cirurgia abdominal alta. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2002;48(3):209-16.
- Praveen CB, Ajmal IT. Clinical study of respiratory complications in patients undergoing elective upper abdominal surgery. Int Surg J 2019;6:732-9.