Depression and Hopelessness Levels among Emergency Service Personnel Working in Shifts
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Abstract: The levels of depression and hopelessness among emergency service workers increase, if the necessary measures are not taken in emergency departments that have high levels of workload and stress. In this study, we aimed to investigate the levels of depression and hopelessness among emergency service workers and the factors affecting these levels. This study included a total of 80 volunteer healthcare employees working in the emergency service of our hospital. Beck depression and Beck hopelessness levels of the participants were measured both before and after their shifts. Additionally, participants were classified according to the economic level and the correlation between economic level, depression and hopelessness scales was investigated. Though Beck depression points were lower before shift, nurses-health officers were the group with highest increase in depression after shift (p=0.004). Physicians were the group with highest Beck hopelessness levels before and after shift. In the group with highest economic level, there was a 30% increase in the severity levels of hopelessness both before and after shift. Physicians were the group with highest hopelessness levels among emergency service health personnel. Additionally, the group of emergency service employees with highest economic level were had higher levels of depression and hopelessness compared to the other groups.

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare personnel work in a noisy and crowded environment under stressful conditions. In addition, patients presenting to emergency department are also under stress and seek immediate solution for their problems, causing an additional stress on emergency service workers. Working environment in emergency services is an important stressor that affect quality of life, and several factors such as heavy workload, insufficient salary, social discrimination, high expectations, high level of responsibility about patients and physical atmosphere contribute to this stress. Depression is a feeling of being unhappy, dejected, sad, pessimistic and unmotivated. Depression and hopelessness involves hopelessness is depression. As the complaints of people with depression increase, clinical studies have shown that hopelessness increases. In the studies by Beck, it was underlined that hopeless concept should be always taken into account when evaluating depression, namely emotions caused by hopeless are associated with depression.

Depression is a feeling of being unhappy, dejected, sad, pessimistic and unmotivated. Depression influences quality of life and productivity in work place. In this situation, previously easily completed tasks become difficult and the clinical picture advances to negative anxiety about inability to do these tasks. Emergency service workers interact with patients in most times and this situation make them prone to depression.

Ensuring appropriate conditions in the workplace and resolving stress factors that negatively affect emergency service workers will increase the productivity and quality of life. Among health workers in hospitals, emergency service staff is the group exposed to the highest level of stress. In this study we aimed to investigate the levels of depression and hopelessness among emergency service workers and to determine the effective factors to increase productivity in the emergency service.
MATERIALS and METHODS

Ethics Consideration

Before the beginning, ethical approval was received from Ordu University Ethics Committee with 2018/33 numbered decision. All participants were informed about the study objectives and gave written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study design

The study included health personnel actively working in the emergency service in our hospital and who voluntarily signed the consent form. Health personnel working outside the emergency service, not actively working in the emergency service (position in emergency but temporary duty elsewhere, on leave, etc.) and those who did not accept to participate were excluded from the study. Care was taken to ensure that participation was on a voluntary basis and personal information was protected.

This study included a total of 80 health employees working in the emergency service of our hospital who voluntarily participated, including 8 physicians, 21 nurses-health officers, 19 security guards and 32 other personnel (medical secretaries, patient referral, workers in the emergency service laboratory unit and workers in the emergency service radiology unit). Participants had depression and hopelessness levels identified using the Beck Depression Inventory and the Beck Hopelessness Scale, and the survey forms prepared with Likert format. The survey forms were completed at 2 different times before shift and after shift and the correlation with emergency service shift was examined.

The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) consists of 21 items and is a Likert-type scale with four self-report statements and points between 0-3. Based on points obtained from the BDI, depression levels of patients are classified as minimal 0-13 points, mild 14-19 points, moderate 20-28 points, and severe 29 points or more.

The Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS) is a 20-item self-report scale with high validity and reliability commonly found in the literature. Questions are answered as correct-incorrect and reflect negative expectations. Each answer in accordance with the key (correct) is given 1 point, while incorrect answers (no) receive no points. The total points obtained are accepted as "hopelessness" points. The scale has a range between 0-20 points. According to points obtained on the BHS, participants have hopelessness levels classified as minimal 0-3 points, mild 4-8 points, moderate 9-14 points (should be frequently monitored) and severe 15-20 points (reflect suicidal intentions). The questions on the scale encompass emotional, motivational and cognitive dimensions.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed using SPSS version 20.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, IBM Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) software. Normality of the data was evaluated with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables with number and percentage. Continuous variables were compared between the groups with Mann-Whitney U test, and categorical variables with Chi-square and Fisher Exact tests. p<0.05 values were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study included at total of 80 emergency service employees, with 43.8% being female (n=35) and 56.3% male (n=45). The participants aged between 18 - 51 years, with a mean of 36.0±7.2 years. Additionally, 51.3% of the participants (n=41) aged 35 years or younger, while 48.7% aged between 36-51 years (n=39) (Table 1). Of participants, 70% were married (n=56), 26.3% were single (n=21) and 3.8% were widowed.

Of those included in the study, 26.3% were nurses-health officers (n=21; Group 1), 23.8% were security personnel (n=19; Group 2), 10% were physicians (n=8; Group 3) and 41.3% were other personnel (medical secretaries, patient referral, workers in the emergency service laboratory unit and workers in the emergency service radiology unit) (n=32; Group 4) (Table 1).

In terms of professional working duration, 62.5% (n=50) had been working for 10 years or less and 37% (n=22) had been working for more than 10 years. Of the emergency service employees, 48.8% (n=39) worked in shifts (16-hour rotation), 27.5% (n=22) worked in office hours and 23.8% (n=19) worked in 24-hour shifts. Of participants, 43.8% (n=35) were not using alcohol or cigarettes, 42.5% (n=34) were smokers and 12.5% (n=10) were using both alcohol and cigarettes.

The mean scores of the participants received from the Beck Depression Inventory and Beck Hopelessness Scale before (BS) and after (AS) shifts are shown in Table 2. There was a difference in depression levels before and after shift among the professional groups; however, it was not statistically significant (p>0.05). Among emergency service workers, security personnel were the group with the highest Beck depression points both before shift (13.0±7.4) and after shift (15.0±13.5) (p<0.05).

Though Beck depression points were lowest before shift (6.0±6.7), the group with highest increase after shift (14.0±8.5) was nurses-health officers and this increase was statistically significantly high (p=0.004).

When hopelessness levels were examined among the participants, physicians were the group with the highest Beck hopelessness levels before (8.0±6.4) and after (9.0±5.2) (p<0.05).

The lowest hopelessness levels before shift were found in the nurse-health officer group, while security personnel were the group with the highest increase in hopelessness levels ((hopelessness points after shift-hopelessness points before shift) x 100) by 28% (p<0.05).

Participants were divided into three groups according to monthly income. Accordingly, 2.5% received 0-349 USD (n=2; Group 1), 63.8% of participants received 350-699 USD (n=51; Group 2), 22.5% received 700-1699 USD (n=18; Group 3), 11.5% received 1700 USD or more (n=9; Group 4). Participants with the highest depression levels before shift were in the group with highest monthly income. According to economic income, participants in Group 2 had significant increases in depression levels after shift (p=0.002). Participants in Group 3 had significant increases in depression levels after shift (p=0.016).

There were no statistically significant correlations between the scores received by participants and gender, type of work, use of drugs, duration of professional work and weekly working hours (p>0.005).

DISCUSSION

Personnel working in emergency departments deliver services for 24 hours and are familiar with the difficulties of working in night shifts. In studies with emergency service workers, nigh shift has been shown to be a major factor of career dissatisfaction, burnout, work-family conflict and dysphoria.

People working in shift works are known to have disrupted sleep-wake cycles and experience some mental problems due to being excluded from normal working and social life. In a study on the topic higher depression and anxiety disorders were reported in shift workers. Saricaoglu et al. reported that health workers had
significant falls in tests related to cognitive functions after night shift\textsuperscript{14}. Kubo et al. reported that anxiety levels were higher in the group of night workers in their study\textsuperscript{15}. In a study of 1195 health workers, depression was reported to be more common among individuals working at night\textsuperscript{16}. Halbach et al. found significant falls in psychomotor performance of health workers after shifts and they reported that this situation caused depression\textsuperscript{17}

Emergency service personnel working in shifts face with many stressors including disruption of circadian cycle, sleep deprivation, malpractice a fear of litigation, exposure to infectious diseases, lack of nutrition and exercise, and facing patient’s mortality. In a study by Savrun et al. about health employees working in the emergency service, increased levels of anxiety were reported among health workers after emergency service night shift\textsuperscript{18}. Disruptions of sleep and circadian cycle increase the risk of depression in emergency service workers. In a systematic review, working in night shift has been shown to increase the risk of depression\textsuperscript{19}. In the current study, all emergency service workers were found to have increased hopelessness and depression levels compared to before shift values. In a recent review evaluating effect of working in shifts on psychological functioning of nurses, working in shifts was found to be associated with negative psychological outcomes\textsuperscript{20}. Among emergency service workers, especially nurses-health officers were the group with the highest increase in depression levels after shift (p=0.004). The levels of anxiety and depression was reported to be significantly higher in nurses working in shifts compared to non-shift situation\textsuperscript{21,22}. This situation was attributed to treatment applications and monitoring of patient vital signs in the emergency service that take time and cause getting tired.

The sociodemographic levels of emergency service employees display differences according to the definition of duties. In the literature, there are different opinions about the correlation between sociodemographic level and depression. In a study it was reported that as socioeconomic level increased, depression levels increased\textsuperscript{23}. Murphy et al. reported that individuals with high socioeconomic level had higher levels of depression\textsuperscript{24}.

In another study, Almeida-Filho et al. reported that individuals with a low socioeconomic level had higher depression levels compared to individuals in the middle and upper classes\textsuperscript{25}. In our study, it was identified that people with the highest monthly income had higher levels of depression and hopelessness compared to people at other economic levels. Those with monthly income between 350-699 USD (Group 2) had significantly increased depression levels after shift. Similarly, participants with monthly income between 700-1699 USD (Group 3) had significantly increased depression levels after shift. Additionally, physicians with the highest economic income, had more severe levels of hopelessness compared to other groups and the degree of hopelessness increased after shift.

### Study Limitations

This study has some limitations. The study was conducted in a single center with a relatively small number of participants. In addition, the levels of depression and hopelessness could be compared according to several factors including age, gender, marital status, smoking etc. However, given the limited number of studies investigating effects of working in shifts on psychological functioning especially among emergency service workers, we believe that our results will provide a significant contribution to the existing literature on this issue.

### Conclusion

When emergency service employees were divided into groups according to their duties, all groups were identified to have increases both in hopelessness and depression levels after shift compared to before shift. However, among these groups, the group with greatest tendency toward depression was the nurse-health officers’ group, while physicians were found to have the highest levels of hopelessness both before and after shift. When the effect of economic level on depression and hopelessness levels was examined, those with the highest economic level were found to have higher levels of both depression and hopelessness compared to the other groups. Recreational activities should be planned, and screening should be made for early diagnosis and treatment in emergency work workers who commonly have depression and hopelessness.

| Table 1. Sociodemographic features of emergency service employees included in the study. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sociodemographic features | Nurse | Security | Physician | Other |
| No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Gender | Female | 13 | 61.3 | 1 | 5.3 | 3 | 37.5 | 18 | 56.3 |
| | Male | 8 | 38.1 | 18 | 94.7 | 5 | 62.5 | 14 | 43.8 |
| Age | 18-35 | 7 | 33.3 | 15 | 78.9 | 2 | 25.0 | 15 | 46.9 |
| | 36-51 | 14 | 66.7 | 4 | 21.1 | 6 | 75.0 | 17 | 53.1 |
| Marital status | Married | 18 | 85.7 | 11 | 57.9 | 5 | 62.5 | 22 | 68.8 |
| | Single | 3 | 14.3 | 6 | 31.6 | 3 | 37.5 | 9 | 28.1 |
| | Widowed | - | - | 2 | 19.5 | - | - | 1 | 3.1 |

| Table 2. Mean total scale points according to professional group. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Nurse | Doctor | Security | Other groups |
| BS depression scores | 6.0±6.7 | 8.5±11.7 | 13.0±7.4 | 8.0±5.9 | 0.162 |
| AS depression scores | 14.0±8.5 | 12.5±9.0 | 15.0±13.5 | 14.5±15.3 | 0.601 |
| BS hope scores | 6.0±5.3 | 8.0±6.4 | 7.0±4.5 | 8.0±4.6 | 0.575 |
| AS hope scores | 7.0±5.7 | 9.0±5.2 | 9.0±4.5 | 9.0±5.5 | 0.520 |
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