

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF INTRATHECAL CLONIDINE AND INTRATHECAL TRAMADOL FOR PROLONGATION OF NEURAXIAL BLOCKADE ANALGESIA WITH 0.5% BUPIVACAINE IN ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY

Naveen Kumar Neerudu¹, Abhinav Sreeram Chetty², Maduguri Srinivas Reddy³

Received : 29/12/2022
Received in revised form : 22/01/2023
Accepted : 04/02/2023

Keywords:

Supraclavicular block, Double injection, Single injection technique, LA, Brachial plexus block, Ultrasonography.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Maduguri Srinivas Reddy
Email: maduguri123@gmail.com

DOI: 10.47009/jamp.2023.5.2.5

Source of Support: Nil,
Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Acad Med Pharm
2023; 5 (2); 22-27



¹Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesia, TRR Institute of Medical Sciences, Inole, Sangareddy, Inole, Telangana, India.

²Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesia, RVM institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Laxmakkapally Village, Mulugu Mandal, Siddipet, Telangana, India.

³Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesia, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Nagunoor, Karimnagar, Telangana, India.

Abstract

Background: Spinal anaesthesia is advantageous in that it uses a small dose of the anaesthetic, is simple to perform and offers a rapid onset of action, reliable surgical analgesia and good muscle relaxation. Effective postoperative analgesia can be provided by neuraxially applied local anaesthetics or opioids, which may be accompanied by unwanted side effects like motor block, hypotension or respiratory depression. **Aims:** A comparative study of Intrathecal Clonidine and Intrathecal tramadol along with 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine for prolongation of Subarachnoid neuraxial blockade. **Materials and Methods:** Prospective randomized study approval is obtained from ethical committee of Medici Institute of Medical Sciences. A written informed consent from the patients consisting of 2 groups, each group of 25 patients. 50 patients were randomly allocated to the following two groups. Group A: SAB with 3.5 ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine hydrochloride and 37.5 mcg of clonidine. Group B: SAB with 3.5 ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine hydrochloride and 25 mg of Tramadol. **Result:** Intraoperatively significant differences in BP, pulse rate were noted, like hypotension and bradycardia more in the clonidine group. Time to full motor recovery was not delayed in any of the patients in both the groups. The mean duration of analgesia did differ in both groups. Mean duration of analgesia in Group A was 326.40 + 30.39 mins and in Group B was 302.40 + 12.00 mins. Time for two segment regression did differ in both the groups. The patients in both the groups showed minimal side effects, like nausea, vomiting and pruritis. The incidences of side effects were statistically insignificant. **Conclusion:** We can thus summarize that both intrathecal clonidine and intrathecal tramadol act synergistically to potentiate bupivacaine induced sensory spinal block. Excellent surgical anesthesia and an extended analgesia was observed in post-operative period with minimum side effects were observed in both groups.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal Anaesthesia is one of the most frequently employed methods of regional anaesthesia. Temporary interruption of nerve transmission is easily produced by injecting local anaesthetic into the readily identifiable subarachnoid space. Spinal anaesthesia is advantageous in that it uses a small dose of the anaesthetic, is simple to perform and offers a rapid onset of action, reliable surgical analgesia and good muscle relaxation. Effective postoperative analgesia can be provided by

neuraxially applied local anaesthetics.^[1] or opioids.^[2] which may be accompanied by unwanted side effects like motor block, hypotension or respiratory depression.^[3] Spinal anaesthesia with hyperbaric Bupivacaine Hydrochloride is popular for longer procedures due to its prolonged duration. But there is a need to intensify and increase duration of sensory blockade without increasing the intensity and duration of motor blockade, and thus prolonging the duration of postoperative analgesia. Intrathecal and epidural clonidine provide effective analgesia in volunteers.^[4] in patients in labour and in those with

postoperative pain without inducing respiratory depression or motor block. The addition of Alpha 2 agonists and opioids has been suggested as a method to accomplish these goals. This study is designed to quantitatively examine the effects of adding Clonidine and Tramadol to Hyperbaric Bupivacaine Hydrochloride in spinal anaesthesia on duration and recovery of sensory and motor blockade.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prospective randomized study approval is obtained from ethical committee of Medici Institute of Medical Sciences. A written informed consent from the patients consisting of 2 groups, each group of 25 patients randomly selected in this prospective randomized study as per the following criteria. Patients selected are in the age group of 18-60 years of either sex with ASA physical status I and II scheduled to undergo elective surgery.

Inclusion Criteria

Age 18 yrs-60 yrs, both male and female, Physical status ASA I and II in Patients undergoing elective orthopaedic surgeries under spinal anaesthesia.

Exclusion Criteria

Emergency surgery cases, distortion of spinal anatomy, superficial lumbar site infection, pregnant women and patients with coagulopathy.

Group A (N-25): Received Inj Clonidine hydrochloride (37.5 mcg) and 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine hydrochloride (3.5 ml) + 0.75 ml Normal saline. Group B (N-25): Received inj Tramadol (25 mg) and 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine hydrochloride (3.5 ml). All the patients were advised to fast for a period of 6-8hours prior to the day of surgery. All the patients were familiarized with visual analog scale of 10 cm for pain during the pre-anaesthetic checkup and informed the feeling of tingling, warmth or heaviness that may be felt after the intrathecal injection. Each patient received 1 mg i.v midazolam in the pre-operative room before shifting to O.T. Routine investigations viz., CBP, BT, CT, CUE, ECG, CXR-PA view, checked.

Operation Theatre setup

Anaesthesia machine with central oxygen pipeline and two full oxygen cylinders were kept in reserve. Appropriate sized endotracheal tubes with

connections. Two working laryngoscopes, tubings, circuit with properly fitting masks. Drugs for resuscitation – Atropine, Adrenaline, Mephenteremin, etc. Drugs for study – 0.5% heavy Bupivacaine, Clonidine, Tramadol, Continuous monitoring throughout the peri-operative and post-operative period done inside the operation theatre, viz., pulse rate, non-invasive blood pressure, Spo2 are recorded.

Procedure

Venous access is secured with a wide bore 18G intravenous canula and all the patients are preloaded with 15ml/kg of crystalloid solution (Ringer lactate) over 10-15 mins. Under strict aseptic precautions lumbar puncture is performed at the L3-L4 intervertebral space using a midline approach with a 23G Quincke spinal needle in the sitting position. After ensuring free flow of CSF, Patient in Group A received intrathecal Clonidine (37.5 mcg) along with 3.5 ml of 0.5% of hyperbaric Bupivacaine hydrochloride + 0.75 ml normal saline. Group B received intrathecal Tramadol (25 mg) along with 3.5 ml of 0.5% of hyperbaric Bupivacaine hydrochloride. All the patients received a coded intrathecal drug volume and the injection is given slowly. Time of onset of block was subjectively assessed by patient complaining of a feeling of warmth, heaviness of limbs, or a tingling sensation, objectively confirmed by decreased VAS scores to pinprick to 5 or less. The time of injection was recorded as zero hour and the level of anaesthesia, onset, duration of analgesia and in addition heart rate, blood pressure, and Spo2 are recorded every 5 minutes after the block for 30 mins, then every 15 mins thereafter till the end of the surgery, and then every 30 mins till the sensory and motor blockade is completely worn off. The maximum ascent of sensory blockade, time to achieve sensory block upto T10, regression of block by 2 segments and duration of analgesia were also noted. Hemodynamic instability was defined as a 30% reduction in mean arterial BP from baseline value and was treated with 300 ml of additional fluids and i.v ephedrine 6 mg bolus if required. Patients are observed for any discomfort, nausea, vomiting, shivering, hypotension, pain, bradycardia and any other side effect. Pain assessment is done by Visual analog scale. Degree of motor block is assessed by the Bromage scale.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of patients is given

Variable	Group A-Clonidine (n-25)	Group B- Tramadol (n-25)	p Value
Age (yrs)	30.20 ± 11.48	31.68 ± 11.17	0.64
Sex (M/ F) : (1/2)	22/3 (1.12 ± 0.33)	21/4 (1.16 ± 0.37)	0.68
Weight (Kg)	67.80 ± 9.32	64.36±9.92	0.21

There was no significant difference between the two groups.

Table 2: Comparison of the initial heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and SpO2

Variable	Group A-Clonidine	Group B-Tramadol	p Value
HR (bpm)	77.20 + 9.52	76.64 + 9.72	0.83
SBP (mm Hg)	125.20 + 11.38	120.76 + 14.47	0.23
DBP (mm Hg)	78.80 + 8.73	74.08 + 10.07	0.08
SpO2	99.72 + 0.45	99.80 + 0.40	0.50




Figure 1: Comparison between Intra-operative Heart Rate between two groups

There was no significant difference between the two groups.




Figure 2: Comparison between Intra-operative Systolic Blood Pressure between two groups

There was no significant difference between the two groups.




Figure 3: Comparison between Intra-operative Diastolic Blood Pressure between two groups

There was no significant difference between the two groups.




Figure 4: Comparison between Intra-operative Visual Analog Scale between two groups

There was no significant difference between the two groups.




Figure 5: Comparison between Intra-operative Bromage Score between two groups

There was no significant difference between the two groups.




Figure 6: Sensory Level between the two groups




Figure 7: Time to full recovery

Sensory level 4 to 12 –Thoracic levels. Sensory level 12 & 14- Lumbar level 2, 4.

Table 3: Comparison of the Post-operative Heart rate, Systolic and Diastolic blood pressure and Spo2.

Variable	Group A Clonidine	Group B Tramadol	p Value
HR (bpm)	79.04 + 6.43	78.56 + 6.94	0.80
SBP (mm Hg)	120.08 + 5.72	124.56 + 7.60	0.02*
DBP (mm Hg)	75.20 + 4.54	75.68 + 5.82	0.74
SpO2	100.00 + 0.00	100.00 + 0.00	1.000

There was no significant difference between the two groups. SBP is significant in both groups.

DISCUSSION

Clonidine is a selective partial agonist for alpha2-adrenoreceptors. It is known to increase the density of both sensory and motor block of local anaesthetic. The analgesic effect following its intrathecal administration is mediated spinally through activation of post synaptic alpha 2-receptors in substantia gelatinosa of spinal cord and it works by blocking the conduction of C and A delta fibres, increases potassium in isolated neurons invitro and intensifies conductance block of local anaesthetic Roh et al.^[5] recently suggested that one of the mechanisms for the enhanced potency of intrathecal clonidine administration in a rat model of neuropathic pain is its ability to modulate spinal cord NMDAR activation via suppression of NR1 phosphorylation. Tramadol is a centrally acting analgesic agent with elimination half-life of 5.5 hrs and provides clinical analgesia by stimulation of μ receptors and to a lesser extent the delta and kappa receptors. It also activates spinal inhibition of pain by decreasing the reuptake of norepinephrine and serotonin. It causes less respiratory depression and pruritis. It was suggested by other studies that tramadol may have local anaesthetic effects on peripheral nerves. Intrathecal opioid administration has been demonstrated to provide effective postoperative analgesia after a variety of surgical procedures, at the cost of increased risk of respiratory depression. Tramadol, in contrast to a centrally acting opioid analgesic, has minimal respiratory effect, because it has 6000 fold less affinity for μ receptors compared to morphine. It also inhibits serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake in the spinal cord and has no reported neural toxicity over

a period of 1 year.^[6] For this prospective randomized trial, we studied fifty ASA 1 and 2 physical status patients with lower limb orthopaedic surgeries over a period of 18 months. Patients with physical status 3,4,5, infection over lumbar site area, allergy to the trial drugs, bleeding and clotting disorders, pregnant women were excluded from the study. I have observed that addition of clonidine improved the onset time, speed of spread, and duration of block in a dose dependant manner. I valued the subjective sensation of feeling of warmth in lower limbs in all the patients receiving clonidine, which corresponded with decreased VAS score at the calf level. A similar correlation of subjective sensation of swelling after regional blocks has been reported by Paqueron et al.^[5] As shown in table 1 and 2 there was no significant difference between the groups with regard to demographic data such as age, weight and sex. The mean age of the patients was 30.20 ± 11.48 in group A and 31.68 ± 11.17 in group B. The mean weight was 67.80 ± 9.32 in group A and 64.36 ± 9.92 in group B which were comparable. The sex ratio was 1.12 ± 0.33 in group A and 1.16 ± 0.37 in group B. Hence it is found that there was no significant difference between both the groups. Hemodynamic variables such as heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, oxygen saturation were monitored preoperatively and there was no significant differences observed between the two groups Mean heart rate was $77.20 + 9.52$ in group A and $76.64 + 9.72$ in group B, systolic blood pressure was $125.20 + 11.38$ in group A and $120.76 + 14.47$ in group B, diastolic blood pressure was $78.80 + 8.73$ in group A and $74.08 + 10.07$ in group B and Spo2 was $99.72 + 0.45$ in group A and $99.80 + 0.40$ in group B.

There was no significant difference in the intraoperative heart rate between the two groups. A small dose of intrathecal clonidine is not usually associated with systemic side effects such as bradycardia, hypotension, or sedation. Accordingly studies using very low doses intrathecal clonidine such as 15 to 30 mcg^{36, 37} found no hemodynamic instability. Mean intraoperative systolic blood pressure was significantly lower in Group A throughout with $p < 0.05$. Since clonidine is a mixed α_1 - α_2 adrenergic agonist, high clonidine doses causes peripheral vasoconstriction, which results in a U shaped hemodynamic dose response curve.⁷ There was no significant difference in the intraoperative diastolic blood pressure among the two groups. These findings agree with other investigations demonstrating a decrease in arterial BP with such doses and relative hemodynamic stability with administration of larger doses. Although neuraxial clonidine may be systematically absorbed leading to reduced sympathetic activity by actions in the brainstem and periphery, neuraxial clonidine also directly decreases MAP by inhibition of preganglionic sympathetic neurons in the spinal cord.⁸ Most of the studies using 37.5 mcg to 150 mcg reported significant hypotension and bradycardia while with higher doses of 300 and 450 mcg, relative hemodynamic stability is observed, suggesting a pressor effect on peripheral sites.⁸ Maximum benefit was seen with the dose of 37.5 mcg, but 20% of patients had fall in pulse and BP and 90% patients were sedated. Regarding onset time, our findings were similar to Filos Ks.¹⁹ who compared 150, 300, and 450 mcg of clonidine for postop analgesia after elective caesarian section performed in GA and found immediate reduction of pain scores with 300 and 450 mcg of clonidine, namely 3rd and 6th min after injection. Mean intraoperative Visual analog scale was comparable at 0 hrs, 15 mins, 30 mins, 60 mins and every 1hr till 6hrs was found to be significantly lower in group A at 180 mins to be $0.72 + 0.98$ as compared to group B with $1.36 + 0.95$ and p value 0.023 and P value at 240 mins and 300 mins, shows group A VAS scores significantly lower than the group B. I have observed decreased VAS scores to pin prick almost instantly with 37.5 mcg dose in all orthopaedic patients and instant reduction of pain observed. A possible explanation could be from the results of the study by Nishiyama et al.¹⁰ showing that intrathecally administered combination of bupivacaine and clonidine produce synergistic analgesic effects on both acute thermal and inflammation induced pain with decreased side effects. After a dose of 1 mcg/kg intrathecally clonidine in humans, the peak CSF level was about 6 mcM. These concentrations are within range required to partially block voltage gated Na^+ and K currents and to shift the steady state inactivation curve to more negative potentials. Heo and young et al.¹¹ had found no difference in the onset time using 150 mcg clonidine. The mean Bromage score was significantly higher in group A at 180 mins to be $3.56 + 0.57$ as

compared to group B with $2.36 + 0.49$ and p value 0.0001 and P value at 240 mins and 300 mins show significantly higher group A Bromage scores compared to group B. The spread of block to T10 and higher in our study was quicker in clonidine group when compared to intrathecal tramadol administration. The duration of sensory block was prolonged in comparison to intrathecal tramadol. The mean sensory level was significantly prolonged in group A at 180 mins to be $9.68 + 1.10$ as compared to group B with $10.80 + 1.29$ and p value 0.0018 and P value at 240 mins and 300 mins show significant prolongation of sensory level in group A compared to group B. Two segment regressions were prolonged in clonidine group compared to tramadol group. The duration of motor block in our study was comparable to studies of Strebel.¹² sethi.¹³ and grandhe.⁸ in spite of higher volume or higher dose of clonidine used by them. The full recovery from subarachnoid blockade was significantly higher in group A, with time of $326.40 + 30.39$ mins as compared to group B time of $302.40 + 12.00$ mins with p value of 0.0001. Total analgesia time was prolonged in our study similar to strebel et al.¹². I found a better quality of block in the clonidine group compared to tramadol group. This was comparable to the results of Dobrydnjoy et al.¹⁴ who reported the surgeon rating the operating conditions as excellent or good in 95-100% of patients receiving 15 and 30 mcg clonidine with bupivacaine. Brijesh Jain et al.¹⁵ in 2000 found that intrathecal tramadol 25 mg added to hyperbaric bupivacaine provided a mean duration of post-operative pain relief of about eight hours, which is similar to our finding. The incidence of hemodynamic side effects like decreased blood pressure, bradycardia, and other side effects like somnolence, dryness of mouth were minimum and well tolerated by patients in the tramadol group. Alsheshmi J.A et al.¹⁶ in 2003 found that intrathecal tramadol did not seem to influence the intra operative hemodynamic profile. In conclusion, my study has demonstrated that addition of intrathecal clonidine to hyperbaric bupivacaine, even in very small doses, significantly improves the onset and duration of sensory and motor block with relative hemodynamic stability. The 37.5 mcg dose provides maximum benefit and minimum side effects. It is recommended when prolongation of spinal anaesthesia is desired as, for example in patients scheduled for long, lower extremity orthopaedic surgeries, lower abdominal surgeries. The two groups did differ significantly with regard to the mean duration of subarachnoid block. The comparative result of the study showed that the duration of subarachnoid block by intrathecal administration of 25 mg of tramadol with 0.5% of hyperbaric bupivacaine was significantly shorter in duration than the 37.5 mcg clonidine with 0.5% of hyperbaric bupivacaine group.

CONCLUSION

My study has demonstrated that addition of Intrathecal Clonidine to bupivacaine, even in very small doses, significantly improves the onset and duration of sensory and motor block with relative hemodynamic stability. The 37.5 mcg dose provides maximum benefit and minimum side effects. It is recommended over Intrathecal Tramadol when prolongation of spinal anaesthesia is desired as, for example in patients scheduled for long, lower abdominal and lower extremity orthopaedic procedures.

REFERENCES

1. Buggy DJ, Hall NA, Shah J, Brown J, Williams J. Motor block during patient-controlled epidural analgesia with ropivacaine or ropivacaine/fentanyl after intrathecal bupivacaine for caesarean section. *Br J Anaesth.* 2000 Sep; 85(3):468-70.
2. Standl TG, Horn E, Luckmann M, et al. Subarachnoid sufentanil for early postoperative pain management in orthopaedic patients: a placebo controlled, double blinded study using spinal microcatheters. *Anaesthesiology* 2001; 94: 230-8.
3. Hamilton CL, Cohen SE. High sensory block after intrathecal sufentanil for labour analgesia. *Anaesthesiology* 1995; 83: 1118-21.
4. Eisenach JC, Hood DD, Curry R. Intrathecal, but not intravenous clonidine reduces experimental thermal or capsaicin induced pain and hyperalgesia in normal volunteers. *Anaesthesiology Analg* 1998; 87: 591-6.
5. Paqueron, et al.: An obligatory role for spinal cholinergic neurons in the antiallodynic effects of clonidine after peripheral nerve injury. *Anesthesiology.* 2001;94 (6): 1074-81
6. Tsai YC, Chang PJ, Jou IM. Direct tramadol application on sciatic nerve inhibits spinal somatosensory evoked potentials in rats. *Anesth Analg.* 2001 Jun; 92(6):1547-51.
7. Chiari A, Lorber C, Eisenach JC, et al. Analgesic and hemodynamic effects of intrathecal clonidine as the sole analgesic agent during first stage of labor: a dose-response study. *Anesthesiology* 1999; 91: 388-96.
8. Grandhe RP, Wig J, Yaddanapudi LN Evaluation of Bupivacaine-Clonidine Combination for Unilateral Spinal Anaesthesia in Lower Limb Orthopedic Surgery *J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol* 2008; 24(2): 155-158.
9. Filos KS, Goudas LC, Patroni O, Polyzou V: Hemodynamic and analgesic profile after intrathecal clonidine in humans. A dose-response study. *Anaesthesiology* 1994 Sep; 81(3):591-601;
10. Nishiyama T, Hanaoka K. Intrathecal clonidine and bupivacaine have synergistic analgesia for acute thermally or inflammatory-induced pain in rats *Anesth Analg.* 2004 Apr;98(4):1056-61.
11. Heo. G.J., Kim Y.H, Oh J.H, and Joo J.C, Effect of Intrathecal Clonidine in Hyperbaric Bupivacaine Spinal Anesthesia *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology* 1997 Aug; 033(02): 304-308.
12. Strebel S , Jürg A. Gurzeler, Schneider m .c, Aeschbach A, Kindler c.h, Small- Dose Intrathecal Clonidine and Isobaric Bupivacaine for Orthopedic Surgery: A Dose-Response Study *Anesth Analg* 2004;99:1231-1238.
13. Sethi B.S Samuel M Sreevastava D. Efficacy of Analgesic Effects of Low Dose Intrathecal Clonidine as Adjuvant to Bupivacaine: *Journal of Anaesthesia* 2007; 51 (5): 415-419.
14. Dobrydnjov I, Axelsson K, Thorn SE, et al. Clonidine combined with small-dose bupivacaine during spinal anesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy: a randomized double-blinded study. *Anesth Analg* 2003; 96: 1496-503.
15. Brijesh Jain, V.K. Sarasawat. Efficacy of intrathecal Tramadol for post operative pain relief in cases of L.S. C.S, and major gynaecological surgeries – a clinical evaluation. *Indian Journal Anaesthesia.* 2001; 45 (4): 282.
16. Alhashemi JA, Kaki AM. Effect of intrathecal tramadol administration on postoperative pain after trans urethral resection of prostate. *British Journal of Anaesthesia,* 2003 Oct; 91 (4): 536-40.